

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,632	01/10/2001	Yoshiki Nishitani	39303.20222.00	9558	
25224	7590 01/29/2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP 555 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 3500			EXAMINER		
			FLETCHER, MARLON T		
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA 90013-1024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	- 11				
•		09/758,632		NISHITANI ET AL.	R				
· Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Marlon T Fletche	· .	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover	r sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	9SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less th: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mi - Failure to reply within the set or extended perior - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1  Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply aximum statutory period w dd for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min ill apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commit (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	lovember 2002 .							
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<u> </u>	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in c closed in accordance with the					merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-192</u> is/are pendin	• ,,								
4a) Of the above claim(s)		vn from consider	ation.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed									
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-192</u> are subject to		election requirer	ment						
Application Papers	restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exam	niner.					
Applicant may not request that	t any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	-				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correct	tion filed on	is: a)⊡ approve	ed b)∏ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawing		•	tion.						
12) The oath or declaration is obje	•	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of	J	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No									
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents	s have been rece	ived.	•					
2. Certified copies of the			• •						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified application from the</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office</li> </ul>	e International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		age				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional ap	oplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the formula (15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a									
Attachment(s)			- <del>-</del>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/758,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

1. This newly written restriction is based on further review of the claims and applicant's comments written in the last response.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, 33-71, 166, 168, 169, 177, 179, 180, drawn to control system, classified in class 84, subclass 600.
  - II. Claims 22-32, 167, 178, and 188-189, drawn to motion detector, classified in class 84, subclass 723.
  - III. Claims 72-96, 170-171, and 181-182 drawn to a guide device, classified in class 84, subclass 470R.
  - IV. Claims 97-113, 172-174, and 183-185, drawn to a living body detector, classified in class 84, subclass 723.
  - V. Claims 148-158, 175, 186, and 190-192, drawn to a control apparatus for controlling readout, classified in class 84, subclass 605.
  - VI. Claims 159-165, 176, and 187, drawn to a light emitting toy or device, classified in class 84, subclass 477R.
  - VII. Claims 114-147, drawn to a control system for a living body detector, classified in class 84, subclass 735.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 2837

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the control system is an overall system. The subcombination has separate utility such as detecting motion.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the control system is an overall system defining the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing guide data or guidance to a user.
- 5. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a control apparatus for reading out data and a living body detector.
- 6. Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a light emitting toy and control apparatus for reading out data.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,632

Art Unit: 2837

7. Inventions IV and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the living body detector makes up the combination wherein the control system is used in conjunction with the detector. The subcombination has separate utility such as detecting a living body.

Page 4

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Group VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group VII, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Response to Arguments

In response to the applicant's argument of the restriction, the examiner has taken a closer look at the claims of the application. Based on further review, the restriction has been rewritten to better arrange the claims based on category and content of the claims. While some of the independent claims do not necessarily relate to music, the classification has been shorten to the music art, because content of the specification and the subject matter of the application. While the classification has been limited to the music art, the actual search would broaden to other related areas outside of the music art. It is believed that, at this time, the restriction is proper and the claims have been categorized as best as possible based on related subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T Fletcher whose telephone number is 703-308-0848. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

MTF January 26, 2003